

# Model Equations and Model Constraints

When a model is falsifiable, it imposes *constraints* on data. That is, not all data can fit the model, so the data must satisfy some constraint conditions in order to fit the model.

Here is a simple example.

Suppose you have 3 data values,  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ .  
You have a model that says these data values can be explained in terms of 2 parameters,  $x$  and  $y$ , via the equations

$$x + y = a,$$

$$x - y = b,$$

$$2x = c$$

## ***Elimination of Parameters***

We eliminate the parameters from the system to see what it “tells us about the data.”

We use the third equation to eliminate  $x$ . Since  $x = c/2$ , we can rewrite the first two equations as

$$c/2 + y = a$$

$$c/2 - y = b$$

Adding these two together, we get

$$c = a + b$$

This model has one degree of freedom, represented by this one constraint equation. **Only data sets satisfying this constraint equation can fit the model!**

## ***Using Model Constraints to Solve for Model Parameters***

Suppose a data set actually does fit the model.  
What is the solution for  $x$  and  $y$ ?

Substituting  $a + b$  for  $c$  in the original model equations, it is rather easy to see that

$$x = \frac{a + b}{2} = \frac{c}{2}, \quad y = \frac{a - b}{2}$$

## ***Symbolic Algebra Software***

Software like *Mathematica* (you can license it for about \$50 a year) does such operations in an eyeblink. Example

```
Eliminate[  
  {x + y == a, x - y == b, 2 x == c},  
  {x, y}  
]
```

```
c == a + b
```

You can do the same thing in factor analysis, retracing Spearman's steps.

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \theta_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta_7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

: Eliminate [

$$\{\theta_1^2 + \theta_5 == \sigma_{1,1},$$

$$\theta_1 \theta_2 == \sigma_{2,1},$$

$$\theta_2^2 + \theta_6 == \sigma_{2,2},$$

$$\theta_1 \theta_3 == \sigma_{3,1},$$

$$\theta_2 \theta_3 == \sigma_{3,2},$$

$$\theta_3^2 + \theta_7 == \sigma_{3,3},$$

$$\theta_1 \theta_4 == \sigma_{4,1},$$

$$\theta_2 \theta_4 == \sigma_{4,2},$$

$$\theta_3 \theta_4 == \sigma_{4,3},$$

$$\theta_4^2 + \theta_8 == \sigma_{4,4}],$$

{ $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6, \theta_7, \theta_8$ }]

*Mathematica* returns

$$\sigma_{3,1} \sigma_{4,2} == \sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1} \ \&\& \ \sigma_{2,1} \sigma_{4,3} == \sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1}$$

The “&&” means “and” in *Mathematica* language.

These “tetrad difference equations” are the conditions that the data must satisfy to fit a 1-factor model. Notice that the variances of the variables *never appear* in these 4 equations!! What does that tell you? (C.P.)

## ***Solving for Model Parameters***

You can add these conditions to the original model equations and solve them.

Solve[

$$\{\theta_1^2 + \theta_5 == \sigma_{1,1},$$

$$\theta_1 \theta_2 == \sigma_{2,1},$$

$$\theta_2^2 + \theta_6 == \sigma_{2,2},$$

$$\theta_1 \theta_3 == \sigma_{3,1},$$

$$\theta_2 \theta_3 == \sigma_{3,2},$$

$$\theta_3^2 + \theta_7 == \sigma_{3,3},$$

$$\theta_1 \theta_4 == \sigma_{4,1},$$

$$\theta_2 \theta_4 == \sigma_{4,2},$$

$$\theta_3 \theta_4 == \sigma_{4,3},$$

$$\theta_4^2 + \theta_8 == \sigma_{4,4},$$

$$\sigma_{3,1} \sigma_{4,2} == \sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1},$$

$$\sigma_{2,1} \sigma_{4,3} == \sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1}$$

},

{ $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6, \theta_7, \theta_8$ }]

$$\left\{ \theta_5 \rightarrow \sigma_{1,1} - \frac{\sigma_{2,1}^2 \sigma_{3,1}^2 \sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2}^3 \sigma_{4,1}^2}, \right.$$

$$\theta_6 \rightarrow \sigma_{2,2} - \frac{\sigma_{2,1}^2 \sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1}^2},$$

$$\theta_7 \rightarrow \sigma_{3,3} - \frac{\sigma_{3,1}^2 \sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1}^2},$$

$$\theta_8 \rightarrow -\frac{\sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2}} + \sigma_{4,4},$$

$$\theta_1 \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_{2,1} \sigma_{3,1} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sigma_{3,2}^{3/2} \sigma_{4,1}},$$

$$\theta_2 \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_{2,1} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{3,2}} \sigma_{4,1}},$$

$$\theta_3 \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_{3,1} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{3,2}} \sigma_{4,1}},$$

$$\theta_4 \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{3,2}}} \left. \right\} \left. \right\}$$

I printed above only one of the two solutions *Mathematica* returns. The other solution is the same, except all the factor loadings have the signs reversed.

$$\left\{ \left\{ \theta_5 \rightarrow \sigma_{1,1} - \frac{\sigma_{2,1}^2 \sigma_{3,1}^2 \sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2}^3 \sigma_{4,1}^2}, \right. \right.$$

$$\theta_6 \rightarrow \sigma_{2,2} - \frac{\sigma_{2,1}^2 \sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1}^2},$$

$$\theta_7 \rightarrow \sigma_{3,3} - \frac{\sigma_{3,1}^2 \sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2} \sigma_{4,1}^2},$$

$$\theta_8 \rightarrow -\frac{\sigma_{4,2} \sigma_{4,3}}{\sigma_{3,2}} + \sigma_{4,4},$$

$$\theta_1 \rightarrow -\frac{\sigma_{2,1} \sigma_{3,1} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sigma_{3,2}^{3/2} \sigma_{4,1}},$$

$$\theta_2 \rightarrow -\frac{\sigma_{2,1} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{3,2}} \sigma_{4,1}},$$

$$\theta_3 \rightarrow -\frac{\sigma_{3,1} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{3,2}} \sigma_{4,1}},$$

$$\theta_4 \rightarrow -\frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{4,2}} \sqrt{\sigma_{4,3}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{3,2}}} \left. \right\},$$